


UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

11 RICHARD KATZMAN,) No.: 13-CV-00438 LHK
12 Plaintiff,) VERDICT FORM
13 v.)
14 LOS ANGELES COUNTY METROPOLITAN)
15 TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY,)
a special district,)
16 Defendant.)
17

22 Dated: April 15, 2015


23 LUCY H. KOH
24 United States District Judge

1 When answering the following questions and filling out this verdict form, please follow the
2 directions provided throughout the form. Some of the questions contain legal terms that are
3 defined and explained in detail in the Jury Instructions. Please refer to the Jury Instructions if you
4 are unsure about the meaning or usage of any legal term that appears in the questions below.

5 We, the jury, unanimously agree to the answers to the following questions and return the
6 answers under the instructions of this Court as our verdict in this case.

7 (1) Has the plaintiff, Richard Katzman, proved by a preponderance of the evidence that
8 the defendant, Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority, deprived Mr. Katzman
9 of a property right without due process of law?

10 YES _____ NO _____

11 *If you answered YES to Question No. 1, proceed to Question No. 2. If you answered NO to
12 Question No. 1, proceed to Question No. 3.*

13 (2) Has Mr. Katzman proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the Los Angeles
14 County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's deprivation of a property right without due
15 process of law caused damage to Mr. Katzman?

16 YES _____ NO _____

17 *Proceed to Question No. 3.*

18 (3) Has Mr. Katzman proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the Los Angeles
19 County Metropolitan Transportation Authority intentionally treated Mr. Katzman differently than
20 others similarly situated to Mr. Katzman, without rational basis?

21 YES _____ NO _____

22 *If you answered YES to Question No. 3, proceed to Question No. 4. If you answered NO to
23 Question No. 3, proceed to Question No. 5.*

24 (4) Has Mr. Katzman proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the Los Angeles
25 County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's different treatment of Mr. Katzman compared to
26 those similarly situated caused damage to Mr. Katzman?

27 YES _____ NO _____

28 *Proceed to Question No. 5.*

1 (5) What are the damages that will reasonably and fairly compensate Mr. Katzman for
2 his injury caused by the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority?

3 \$_____

4

5 Have the presiding juror sign and date the verdict form and notify the bailiff.

6

7 Signed: _____ Date: _____

8 PRESIDING JUROR